



Comparison of calibrated fMRI with calibration factor M determined by hypercapnia vs. gas-free R2'

<u>Stephan Kaczmarz</u>^{1,2*}, Jan Kufer¹, Lena Schmitzer¹, Jens Göttler^{1,2,3}, Mario E. Archila Melendez¹, Andreas Hock⁴, Christian Sorg¹, Claus Zimmer¹, Fahmeed Hyder², and Christine Preibisch^{1,5}



Session: Probing Physiology with fMRI Q&A: Thursday Parallel 4 fMRI Physiology Thursday, August 13, 2020 14:20 – 15:05 (UTC)



¹Technical University of Munich (TUM), School of Medicine, Department of Neuroradiology, Munich, Germany, ²MRRC, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States ³TUM, School of Medicine, Clinic for Radiology, Munich, Germany, ⁴Philips Healthcare, Hamburg, Germany ⁵TUM, School of Medicine, Clinic for Neurology, Munich, Germany

*stephan.kaczmarz@tum.de



ONE COMMUNITY

ISMRM & SMRT Virtual Conference & Exhibition 08-14 August 2020



Declaration of Financial Interests or Relationships

Speaker Name: Stephan Kaczmarz

I have no financial interests or relationships to disclose with regard to the subject matter of this presentation.

Motivation



Background	BOLD effect ^{1,2} to map human brain function ³	Calibration experiment
	Calibrated fMRI to quantify oxygen metabolism changes ³⁻⁶	
	Established measurements of BOLD and perfusion changes during hypercapnia ^{5,6}	Maximum BOLD signal
	to calculate calibration factor M based on Davis model?	change ivi

lssue

Wider applications limited by complicated gas setup⁶ Alternative gas-free R_2 ' calibrations⁷⁻⁹ with systematic deviations^{5, 10}

Hypothesis	Methodological improvements by pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling (pCASL) ¹¹ with optimized timings ¹²
	Improved M factor correlation of hypercapnia vs gas-free R ₂ ⁴
	Evaluate perfusion effects on calibrated fMRI



¹Ogawa et al. PNAS 1992; ²Kwong et al. PNAS 1992; ³Hoge & Pike, J Chem Neuroanat 2001; ⁴Herman et al, ONAS 2013; ⁵Blockely et al. NMR Biomed 2013; ⁶Blockley et al. NI 2012; ⁷Davis et al. PNAS 1998; ⁷Yablonskiy & Haacke, MRM, 1994; ⁸Shu et al. NI, 2016; ⁹Kida et al, JCBFM, 2000; ¹⁰Liu et al. ISMRM 2018; ¹¹Alsop et al. MRM 2015; ¹²Fan et al. JCBFM 2016

Material & Methods

Participants



Material & Methods

ASL Timings





Material & Methods

MR imaging protocol



CBF in GM

Air

Smoothed CBF

Hypercapnia

100

Material & Methods

Signal time course



average $\triangle BOLD = +2.7\%$

average $\triangle CBF = +32\%$

Results Examplary CBF Data CBF baseline CBF hypercapnia Proposed ASL Longer PLD Perfusion increase during hypercapnia 70.0 60.0 [ml/100g/min] 50.0 40.0 30.0 ASL 20.0 10.0 Commonly used shorter PLD 0.0

Decreased CBF with shorter PLD

Results

Gas-free M factor



Results



Velocity increase by hypercapnia may affect M



Regional perfusion effects by hypercapnia



Discussion

CVR impairments in ICAS

e fMRI	R ₂ ' based M factor correlates well with hypercapnia
as-fre ated	Signal changes under hypercapnia agree well with literature ¹
G calibr	Prolonged PLD of ASL improves M factor correlations – in line with literature ^{2,3}



Summary

Proposed prolonged PLD improved gas-free calibration

Good coorelation of R₂' vs. hypercapnia based M factors

Flow velocity effects under hypercapnia may affect calibrated fMRI

Gas-free calibrated fMRI is highly promising for future applications and requires further validations in future task studies **ARI** 1113

Acknowledgements

We want to highly appreciate the support by: German Research Fundation (DFG) Dr. Ing. Leonhard-Lorenz Stiftung Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES)

Thank you very much for your attention!

ed from Cmichel67 under BY CC

a Sealing a State Stat

IIII

@SKaczmarz
@NMRMgroup